

SCREENING AND CHARGING – This language is almost verbatim from the proposed 1 best practices document provided by SWAP. I found them to be very detailed and well 2 thought out. I welcome all in put! I added language that deals with the rare 3 circumstances when the prosecutor becomes aware that the suspect is possibly mentally 4 5 incompetent to participate in the process. An example of this would be a suspect who 6 resides in a board or care facility with significant mental impairment or someone who is 7 suffering from progressive dementia, etc. 8 9 **Screening and Charging** 10 **Prosecutorial Responsibility to Charge:** 11 12 a. Pursuant to Article VIII Section 16 of the Utah Constitution, Utah Code Title 67 Chapter 5, 13 14 Utah Code Title 17 Chapter 18a, and Utah Code Title 10, Chapter 3, Section 928, it is the ultimate responsibility of the prosecutor to determine when and which criminal charges should 15 be prosecuted and against whom. 16 17 b. Excepting cases authorized to proceed by citation pursuant to Utah Code 77-7-18 to -21, the 18 decision to initiate a criminal prosecution should be made by a prosecutor's office. 19 20 21 c. The initial charging decision affects fundamental rights of the accused and may affect the 22 accused's standing in the community, whether or not a conviction ultimately results. Therefore, 23 the chief prosecutor should provide appropriate training and guidance to prosecutors regarding 24 the exercise of their discretion in the charging decision and should provide adequate time and 25 resources for prosecutors to fully evaluate cases prior to making charging decisions. 26

3-2.1 Filing and Maintaining Criminal Charges:

27

28



funding for such programs;

29 a. A prosecutor should not file or maintain charges if the prosecutor reasonably believes the 30 accused is innocent. 31 32 33 b. A prosecutor should file and maintain criminal charges only if the prosecutor reasonably 34 believes that the charges are supported by probable cause, that admissible evidence will be 35 sufficient to support conviction beyond a reasonable doubt, and that the decision to charge or 36 maintain charges is in the interests of justice. 37 c. A prosecutor should only file and maintain charges in number and degree than are reasonably 38 39 necessary to fairly reflect the gravity of the offense or deter similar conduct. 40 d. In the event that a prosecutor learns of previously unknown information that could affect a 41 42 screening decision previously made, the prosecutor should reevaluate that earlier decision in light of the new information. 43 44 3-2.2 Factors to Consider When Screening and Charging a Case: In addition to the 45 strength of the case and admissibility of evidence, in considering whether prosecution is in 46 the interest of justice, prosecutors may consider the following factors when applicable: 47 48 49 a. The impact of a prosecution on a victim, witness or third party; 50 51 b. Whether the public's or victim's interests in the matter might be appropriately vindicated by 52 available civil, regulatory, administrative, or private remedies. 53 54 c. The availability of suitable treatment, diversion and rehabilitative programs, the accused's 55 willingness to enter such programs, and the accused's ability to qualify for entrance to and



d. The accused's efforts toward voluntary restitution and/or treatment and rehabilitation prior to prosecution; e. The availability of a noncriminal disposition, deferred prosecution or other diversionary disposition and the accused's willingness to participate in such a program; f. Characteristics of the accused that are relevant, including: i. The mental status of the accused, including whether the accused committed the offense while substantially mentally ill or if the accused is mentally incompetent to participate meaningfully in their defense; ii. The accused's relative level of culpability in the criminal activity; iii. Whether the accused held a position of trust at the time of the offense; iv. The accused's criminal history; v. Whether the alleged crime represents a substantial departure from the accused's history of living a law abiding life; vi. Whether the accused has already suffered substantial loss in connection with the alleged crime or whether prosecution would cause unwarranted hardship on the accused; vii. The extreme youth or advanced age of the accused 

g. The likelihood of prosecution by another criminal justice authority;



h. Whether non-prosecution would assist in achieving other legitimate goals, such as the investigation or prosecution of more serious offenses; i. The willingness of the accused to cooperate with law enforcement in the apprehension or conviction of others; j. The charging decisions made for similarly-situated accused persons; k. A history of non-enforcement of the applicable law; 1. A reasonable belief of the prosecutor that the applicable law is unconstitutional; m. Any improper conduct by law enforcement in relation to the accused or the investigation, or failure of law enforcement to perform necessary duties or investigations in relation to the prosecution; n. The evidence strongly suggests improper motives of the complainant and there is minimal evidence in addition to the complainant's statements corroborating the offense; o. Whether the authorized or likely punishment or collateral consequences are disproportionate in relation to the particular offense or the offender; p. The extent of harm caused by the offense; q. Whether the size of the loss or the extent of the harm caused by the alleged crime is too small to warrant a criminal sanction;



113	r. The impact of the crime on the community, including the potential deterrent value of a
114	prosecution to the accused and to society at large;
115	
116	s. Excessive costs of prosecution in relation to the seriousness of the offense(s), including the
117	availability of resources to the prosecutor to undertake a particular prosecution or the prosecution
118	of a certain category of offenses;
119	
120	t. The possible influence of any cultural, ethnic, socioeconomic or other improper biases against
121	the accused, witnesses or victims.
122	
123	3-2.3 Factors Not to Consider When Screening and Charging a Case: In screening and
124	charging decisions a prosecutor should not consider the following when exercising his or
125	her discretion:
126	
127	a. The prosecutor's individual or the prosecutor's office rate of conviction;
128	
129	b. Personal advantages or disadvantages that a prosecution might bring to the prosecutor or
130	others in the prosecutor's office;
131	
132	c. Hostility or personal animus towards an accused;
133	
134	d. Political advantages or disadvantages that a prosecution might bring to the prosecutor;
135	
136	e. Characteristics of the accused that have been recognized as the basis for invidious bias or
137	discrimination, insofar as those factors are not pertinent to the elements or motive of the crime;
138	
139	f. When the primary purpose of filing charges is to obtain from the accused a release of potential
140	civil claims or the forfeiture of seized property;



141	
142	g. The acts or behavior of the accused's attorney.
143	
144	<b>Continuing Duty to Evaluate</b> – Adapted from the National District Attorneys Association
145	National Prosecution Standards
146	Third Edition
147	with Revised Commentary
148	
149	In the event that the prosecutor learns of previously unknown information that could
150	affect a screening decision previously made, the prosecutor should reevaluate that earlier
151	decision in light of the new information. New information likewise may result in the prosecuto
152	making changes with respect to charging decisions or potential plea offers.
153	
154	Plea Bargains- Language adapted from the National District Attorneys Association -National
155	Prosecution Standards Third Edition with Revised Commentary. This is rather detailed. Do we
156	as a committee recommend this degree of detail or should we seek a more simplified list of
157	principles?
158	
159	Part V. Propriety of Plea Negotiation and Plea Agreements
160	1. General
161	2. Availability for Plea Negotiation
162	3. Factors for Determining Availability and Acceptance of Guilty Plea
163	4. Fulfillment of Plea Agreements
164	5. Record of Plea Agreement
165	1. General
166	5-1.1 Propriety
167	The prosecutor is under no obligation to enter into a plea agreement that has the effect of
168	disposing of criminal charges in lieu of trial. However, where it appears that it is in the public



- interest, the prosecution may engage in negotiations for the purpose of reaching an appropriate
- plea agreement. When agreement is reached, it should be reduced to writing, if practicable.
- 5-1.2 Types of Plea Negotiations
- The prosecution, in reaching a plea agreement, may agree to a disposition of the case that
- includes, but is not limited to, one or more of the following commitments from the prosecution in
- exchange for a plea of guilty:
- a. To make certain recommendations concerning the sentence which may be
- imposed by the court if the defendant enters a plea of guilty or nolo contendere;
- b. To agree not to oppose sentencing requests made by the defense; or
- 178 c. To dismiss, seek dismissal, or not oppose dismissal of an offense or offenses
- charged if the defendant enters a plea of guilty or nolo contendere to another
- offense or other offenses supported by the defendant's conduct;
- d. To dismiss, seek dismissal, or not oppose dismissal of the offense charged, or
- not to file potential charges, if the accused agrees not to pursue potential civil
- causes of action against the victim, witnesses, law enforcement agencies or
- personnel, or the prosecutor or his staff or agents;
- e. To agree to forego an ongoing investigation into other criminal activity of the
- defendant if the defendant enters a plea of guilty or nolo contendere to a presently charged
- offense or offenses; and/or
- 188 f. To agree that the defendant and prosecution will jointly recommend a particular sentence to the
- court and that the prosecution will support the defendant's motion to withdraw his plea of guilty
- if the court exceeds this agreed upon sentencing recommendation.
- 191 g. To agree that a plea offer that is conditioned upon approval in advance by the trial Court in
- conformity with Rule 11 of the Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure.
- h. To agree to a plea offer to amended charges which minimize potential collateral consequences
- such as deportation, or inclusion in certain crime specific databases.

## 5-1.3 Conditional Offer



197	Prior to reaching a plea agreement and subject to the standards herein and the law of the
198	jurisdiction, the prosecutor may set conditions on a plea agreement offer, such as:
199	a. The defendant's acceptance of the offer within a specified time period that
200	would obviate the need for extensive trial preparation;
201	b. The defendant's waiver of certain pre-trial rights, such as the right to discovery;
202	c. The defendant's waiver of certain pre-trial motions such as a motion to
203	suppress or dismiss; or
204	d. The defendant's waiver of certain trial or post-trial rights, such as the right to
205	pursue an appeal.
206	5-1.4 Uniform Plea Opportunities
207	Similarly situated defendants should be afforded substantially equal plea agreement
208	opportunities. In considering whether to offer a plea agreement to a defendant, the prosecutor
209	should not take into account the defendant's race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national
210	origin, or political association or belief, unless legally relevant to the criminal conduct charged.
211	2. Availability for Plea Negotiation
212	5-2.1 Willingness to Negotiate
213	The prosecutor should make known a policy of willingness to consult with the defense
214	concerning disposition of charges by plea and should set aside times and places for plea
215	negotiations, in addition to pre-trial hearings.
216	5-2.2 Presence of Defense Counsel
217	The prosecutor should not negotiate a plea agreement directly with a defendant who is
218	represented by counsel in the matter, unless defense counsel is either present or has given his or
219	her express written permission for the prosecutor to negotiate directly with the defendant.
220	

# 3. Factors for Determining Availability and Acceptance of Guilty Plea

# 222 5-3.1 Factors to Consider

- Prior to negotiating a plea agreement, the prosecution should consider the following factors:
- a. The nature of the offense(s);



- b. The degree of the offense(s) charged;
- 226 c. Any possible mitigating circumstances;
- d. The age, background, and criminal history of the defendant;
- e. The expressed remorse or contrition of the defendant, and his or her willingness to accept
- responsibility for the crime;
- 230 f. Sufficiency of admissible evidence to support a verdict;
- 231 g. Undue hardship caused to the defendant;
- 232 h. Possible deterrent value of trial;
- i. Aid to other prosecution goals through non-prosecution;
- j. A history of non-enforcement of the statute violated;
- 235 k. The potential effect of legal rulings to be made in the case;
- 236 l. The probable sentence if the defendant is convicted;
- 237 m. Society's interest in having the case tried in a public forum;
- 238 n. The defendant's willingness to cooperate in the investigation and prosecution
- 239 of others;
- o. The likelihood of prosecution in another jurisdiction;
- p. The availability of civil avenues of relief for the victim, or restitution through
- 242 criminal proceedings;
- q. The willingness of the defendant to waive his or her right to appeal;
- 244 r. The willingness of the defendant to waive (release) his or her right to pursue
- potential civil causes of action arising from his or her arrest, against the victim,
- witnesses, law enforcement agencies or personnel, or the prosecutor or his or her staff or agents;
- s. With respect to witnesses, the prosecution should consider the following:
- 248 1. The availability and willingness of witnesses to testify;
- 2. Any physical or mental impairment of witnesses;
- 250 3. The certainty of their identification of the defendant;
- 251 4. The credibility of the witness;
- 252 5. The witness's relationship with the defendant;



253	6. Any possible improper motive of the witness;
254	7. The age of the witness;
255	8. Any undue hardship to the witness caused by testifying.
256	
257	5-3.1 With respect to victims, the prosecution should consider those factors identified above
258	and the following:
259	1. The existence and extent of physical injury and emotional trauma suffered
260	by the victim;
261	2. Economic loss suffered by the victim;
262	3. Any undue hardship to the victim caused by testifying.
263	
264	
265	
266	
267	5-3.2 Innocent Defendants
268	The prosecutor should always be vigilant for the case where the accused may be innocent of the
269	offense charged. The prosecutor must satisfy himself or herself that there is a sound factual basis
270	for all crimes to which the defendant will plead guilty under any proposed plea agreement.
271	
272	5-3.3 Candor
273	The prosecutor should not knowingly make any false or misleading statements of law or fact to
274	the defense during plea negotiations.
275	
276	5.4. Fulfillment of Plea Agreements
277	5-4.1 Limits of Authority
278	The prosecutor should not make any guarantee concerning the sentence that will be imposed by
279	the court or concerning a suspension of sentence. The prosecutor may advise the defense of the
280	position the prosecutor will take concerning disposition of the case, including a sentence that the



prosecutor is prepared to recommend to the court based upon present knowledge of the facts of the case and the offender, including his or her criminal history.

## **5-4.2 Implication of Authority**

The prosecutor should not make any promise or commitment assuring a defendant that the court will impose a specific sentence or disposition in the case. The prosecutor should avoid implying a greater power to influence the disposition of a case than the prosecutor actually possesses.

# 5-4.3 Inability to Fulfill Agreement

The prosecutor should not fail to comply with a plea agreement that has been accepted and acted upon by the defendant to his or her detriment, unless the defendant fails to comply with any of his or her obligations under the same agreement or unless the prosecutor is authorized to do so by law. If the prosecutor is unable to fulfill an understanding previously agreed upon in plea negotiations, the prosecutor should give prompt notice to the defendant and cooperate in securing leave of court for the defendant to withdraw any plea and take such other steps as would be appropriate to restore the defendant and the prosecution to the position they were in before the understanding was reached or plea made.

## 5-4.4 Rights of Others to Address the Court

The prosecutor should not commit, as part of any plea agreement, to limit or curtail the legal right of any victim or other person authorized by law to address the court at the time of plea or sentencing. The prosecutor should honor the legal rights of victims and other persons authorized by law to address the court.

#### 5-4.5 Notification of Media

Prior to the entry of a plea of guilty by the defendant in open court, the prosecutor should not make any extrajudicial comments to the media about either the possibility or existence of a plea agreement with the defendant, or of the nature or contents of any such agreement.



# 5. Record of Plea Agreement

### 5-5.1 Record of Agreement

Whenever the disposition of a charged criminal case is the result of a plea agreement, the prosecutor should make the existence and terms of the agreement part of the record. The prosecutor should also maintain the reasons for the disposition in the case file.

# 5-5.2 Reasons for Nolle Prosequi

Whenever felony criminal charges are dismissed by way of a nolle prosequi or its equivalent, the prosecutor should make a record of the reasons for his or her action.

In the prosecutor's quest for justice, it may become necessary and desirable to dispose of criminal cases without going to trial. There are few prosecutors who have the resources that would be required to try every case. Given that reality, most prosecutors actively engage in negotiations to reach appropriate dispositions in most cases. Like other agreements between parties, most plea negotiations require some action by both the prosecutor and the defendant.

Also, like most other agreements, plea negotiations should be conducted in an honest and forthright manner in which the prosecution is guided by representing the best interest of society while being mindful of duties of candor and to avoid overreaching in dealing with the defendant. The prosecutor should be careful not to agree to an action that he or she cannot perform.

Likewise, the defendant should be aware that his or her failure to perform his or her part of the agreement might well result in the prosecutor's withdrawal from the agreement or other consequences such as the imposition of penalties, including incarceration if the defendant does not comply with the agreement.

## Collection of Monetary Fines, and Restitution- No adaptive language found

The imposition of fines, penalties and fees is within the discretion of the trial court at sentencing.

A prosecutor may agree as part of plea negotiations to recommend a specific fine amount or may



338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

of interest as the interests of justice require.

agree not to a seek a fine as part of their sentencing recommendation. The prosecutor may consider, among other relevant sentencing factors, whether the defendant has the ability to pay a fine or whether the payment of a fine should be secondary to the payment of restitution to the victims. A fine also may be recommended as part of or in lieu of other sentencing recommendations. **Restitution-** A prosecutor should seek a court order for restitution as part of making the victims of crimes whole whether the crime involves a monetary loss or other forms of loss such as property or injury. The amount of restitution ordered as part of sentencing must be proven by the prosecutor or agreed upon as part of a plea agreement. Once a restitution amount has been ordered, the prosecutor should seek to enforce the court order and make appropriate efforts to see that victims receive the proceeds collected as part of restitution. If a defendant is unable or unwilling to pay restitution as ordered by the court, the prosecutor may seek an Order to Show Cause to enforce the restitution order. The prosecutor may also seek to have the courts order extended to allow for more time for the defendant to comply with the restitution order. If due to circumstances the defendant is unable to pay restitution, the prosecutor may seek to require the debt to be sent to the State Office of Debt Collection. A prosecutor may also assist a victim in having a restitution order converted to a civil judgment for collection by civil processes. The prosecutor may seek the imposition of interest as part of a restitution order or waive the payment